Caenorhabditis elegans prom-1 Is Required for Meiotic Prophase Progression and Homologous Chromosome Pairing
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A novel gene, *prom-1*, was isolated in a screen for *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutants with increased apoptosis in the germline. *prom-1* encodes an F-box protein with limited homology to the putative human tumor suppressor FBXO47. Mutations in the *prom-1* locus cause a strong reduction in bivalent formation, which results in increased embryonic lethality and a Him phenotype. Furthermore, retarded and asynchronous nuclear reorganization as well as reduced homologous synapsis occur during meiotic prophase. Accumulation of recombination protein RAD-51 in meiotic nuclei suggests disturbed repair of double-stranded DNA breaks. Nuclei in *prom-1* mutant gonads timely complete mitotic proliferation and premeiotic replication, but they undergo a prolonged delay upon meiotic entry. We, therefore, propose that *prom-1* regulates the timely progression through meiotic prophase I and that in its absence the recognition of homologous chromosomes is strongly impaired.

INTRODUCTION

Meiosis is the specialized nuclear division in which the diploid chromosomal complement is reduced to a haploid gametic chromosome set to compensate for the duplication of chromosome number that occurs at fertilization. During meiosis, pairs of homologous chromosomes (one from each parent) separate in a first round of nuclear division (meiosis I), and each chromosome splits into its two sister chromatids during a second round of nuclear division (meiosis II). Before homologous chromosomes disjoin at meiosis I, they reciprocally exchange corresponding portions, which results in each chromosome becoming a mosaic consisting of parts of the original parental chromosomes. This process, which is called crossing over, contributes to the genetic diversity of the four meiotic products, and as a consequence, to the variability of offspring. To allow for the orderly segregation of homologues at meiosis I and crossing over to occur, chromosomes have to pair during meiotic prophase. Meiotic pairing is a multistep process during which homologous chromosomes first recognize each other, then align, and finally engage in an intimate association along their entire lengths. The final, close apposition is mediated by a ribbon-like proteinaceous structure, referred to as the synaptonemal complex (SC). Crossing over, which takes place within the framework of the SC, produces chiasmata. Together with the cohesion of sister chromatids, chiasmata connect homologues after the disassembly of the SC, allowing them to persist as bivalents until their separation in the first anaphase of meiosis (for review, see Petronczki et al., 2003).

The establishment of bivalents needs the accurate coordination of all the events that are taking place during prophase I. Many aspects of the meiotic cell cycle are regulated by the interplay between cyclin-dependent kinase activity and ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis of inhibitory factors similar to the mitotic cell cycle (Harper et al., 2002; Henderson et al., 2006). To date, little is known about the orchestration and cell cycle dependence of meiotic events of prophase I such as chromatin condensation, homologue recognition, axis formation, and SC formation.

In *C. elegans*, various defects in meiotic pairing and recombination lead to the elimination of a major portion of affected cells by germ cell apoptosis (Gartner et al., 2000; Bhalla and Dernburg, 2005). Here, we describe a novel gene, *progression of meiosis (prom-1)*, which was originally identified in a screen for increased apoptosis in the germline and which plays a role in the orderly progression of meiotic prophase I and in full homologous chromosome pairing and recombination.
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Worm Strains and Culture Conditions**

The wild-type N2 Bristol, the Hawaiian strain CB4856, and strain DC1079 [**fop-1(gk174)** **ok1140**](Molecular Biology of the Cell) were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN).

The prom-1 deletion allele **ok1140** (strain RB1183) was generated by the C. elegans Gene Knockout Consortium. AV106 spo-11(ok79) (Dernburg et al., 1998) and AV393 htp-1(ok79) (Martínez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005) were kindly provided by Anne M. Villeneuve. Worms were grown on NGM plates with Escherichia coli provided by Anne M. Villeneuve. Worms were grown on NGM plates with *Escherichia coli*.

**Screen for Mutants with Increased Meiotic Cell Death**

A synchronized population of wild-type worms at the L4 larval stage was mutagenized with ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) under standard conditions, and it was subsequently allowed to grow to adulthood. These adults were bleached and the F₂ generation was stained with acridine orange (Gartner et al., 2004), and live animals were screened under a standard fluorescence detection microscope for increased apoptosis.

Candidate mutants were outcrossed four to five times and tested for the viability of offspring and for a high incidence of males (Him) among the progeny. Mapping of the mutant alleles was performed with single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers as described in Wicks et al. (2001). The mutation was mapped to an interval between an SNP on cosmid 4F14 (position 15324) and an SNP on M04C9 (position 11470), and the remaining candidate open reading frames were sequenced.

**Protein Depletion by Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) Interference (RNAi)**

F54D9.5 and CUL-1 proteins were depleted by double-stranded RNAi by feeding hermaphrodites with dsRNA-producing bacteria (Timmons et al., 2001). Cytological Preparation of Gonads

Gonads of immobilized animals were injected with a solution of 300 pM Cy3-labeled dUTP (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, United Kingdom) in M9 buffer (0.3% KH₂PO₄, 0.6% Na₂HPO₄, 0.5% NaCl, and 1 mM MgSO₄) for 30 min at 37°C in a humidity chamber. The primary antibody was applied and the specimen was incubated overnight at 4°C in a humidity chamber. Antibodies were diluted in 1× PBS containing 3% BSA as follows: 1:100 anti-REC-8 (Pasierbek et al., 2001), 1:100 anti-RAD-51 (Colaiácovo et al., 2003), 1:50 anti-SYP-1 (MacQueen et al., 2002), 1:100 anti-HIM-3 (Zetka et al., 1999), and 1:500 anti Him-8 (Phillips et al., 2005). After washing three times in 1× PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20, secondary antibodies were applied at the following dilutions: anti-rabbit Cy3 (1:50; D Combo®; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA), anti-rat FITC (1:500; anti-rat FITC (1:500). After 60-min incubation at room temperature, slides were washed and mounted in Vectashield supplemented with DAPI (see above). Fixation and staining for phosphorylated histone H3 was performed as described previously (Jones et al., 1996).

**Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH)**

Pooled cosmids C35D5 and R119, and PCR-amplified ss RNAi, were used as probes for the left end of chromosome I and the right arm of chromosome V, respectively (Pasierbek et al., 2001). Cosmid DNA was directly labeled with Cy3-dUTP or with the BioNick Labeling System (Gene Images, Missoula, MT) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The 5S rDNA was labeled by PCR with digoxigenin-11-dUTP during PCR amplification.

Slides prepared according to the above-mentioned protocol were dehydrated by incubation in increasing ethanol concentrations, dried on air, and kept in the freezer until use for FISH. Labeled probe DNA and cytological preparations were denatured separately before the probe was added to the slides for hybridization. The protocol is described in detail in Pasierbek et al. (2001). Hybridized digoxigenin-labeled probes were detected with FITC-conjugated anti-digoxigenin, and FITC (green) and Cy3 (red) fluorescence was emitted by excitation with light of appropriate wavelengths. Slides were mounted in Vectashield containing 2 μg/ml DAPI.

**Microscopy and Evaluation**

Preparations were examined with a Zeiss Axioskop epifluorescence microscope. Images were recorded with a cooled charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ). Evaluation of cytological phenotypes was performed in animals kept at 20°C 16–24 h after larval stage 4 (L4). For multicolor immunostaining and FISH pictures, monochrome images were captured separately for each emission wavelength. Artificial coloring and merging were undertaken using IPLab Spectrum software (Scanalytics, Fairfax, VA). In some cases, three-dimensional stacks of images were taken (MetaVue software; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), deconvolved (AutoDeblur software; AutoQuant Imaging, Troy, NY), and projected (Helicon Focus software; http://helicon.com.ua/heliconfocus/).

**RESULTS**

**Isolation and Characterization of prom-1 Mutant Alleles**

In animals, defects in meiotic recombination and/or meiotic pairing can lead to the elimination of affected cells by apoptotic cell death (Gartner et al., 2000). We exploited this phenotype for the screening for *C. elegans* meiotic mutants, taking advantage of the preferential uptake of the dye acridine orange by apoptotic corpses (Gartner et al., 2004; Schumacher et al., 2005). Two alleles, **op240** and **jf3**, of a novel gene (**prom-1**) were identified. In addition to increased apoptosis, these mutants exhibited high meiotic cell death and a high incidence of males (Him) phenotype (see below).

After backcrossing the mutant animals, the corresponding gene (**prom-1**) was mapped to open reading frame F26H9.1 on chromosome I by using morphological and SNP markers. Sequencing of these alleles revealed a D242 to N missense mutation in **op240** and a Q363 to premature stop codon mutation in **jf3** (see Supplemental Figures S1 and S2 for cDNA sequence, intron–exon boundaries, and mutation sites). A third allele, **ok1140**, was provided by the C. elegans Gene Knockout Consortium. Complementation testing confirmed that **jf3**, **op240**, and **ok1140** are indeed allelic (data not shown). ok1140 is a deletion of 1229 base pairs encompassing the first five and a half exons and 63 base pairs of the promoter region. Because **prom-1(ok1140)** is the most se-
An RNAi phenotype was not detected for F54D5.9 by to find homologues of FBXO47 in all vertebrates examined, this locus seems to be duplicated (Simon-Kayser, 2005) and to FBXO47-related proteins in various vertebrates. Although there seems to exist only one FBXO47-like protein highly variable from animal to animal, with all three backcrossed alleles.

Brood size was strongly reduced in the mutants, and it was observed in the other alleles as well (data not shown). The F26H9.1 transcript shows a three- to fourfold germ line enrichment relative to somatic tissues (Reinke et al., 1998), on average, only 1.9 nuclei in the ovary of the prom-1 mutant (2.7 compared with a maximum of three nuclei (1.4 ± 0.6; n = 23) in the wild type. We tested whether impaired repair of meiotic double-strand breaks (DSBs) contributed to increased germ cell apoptosis by scoring for apoptotic nuclei in a prom-1 spo-11 double mutant. We found that in the absence of SPO-11 (which catalyzes meiotic DSB formation; Dernburg et al., 1998), on average, only 1.9 nuclei ± 1.9 (n = 19) per gonad were apoptotic. Thus, the apoptosis phenotype was bypassed in the absence of DSBs, indicating that incomplete cell apoptosis by scoring for apoptotic nuclei in a prom-1 spo-11 double mutant. We found that in the absence of SPO-11 (which catalyzes meiotic DSB formation; Dernburg et al., 1998), on average, only 1.9 nuclei ± 1.9 (n = 19) per gonad were apoptotic. Thus, the apoptosis phenotype was bypassed in the absence of DSBs, indicating that incomplete apoptosis or delayed repair of meiotic DSBs, which is a possible consequence of delayed meiotic progression (see below) may trigger DNA damage checkpoint-dependent apoptosis in a prom-1 Δ mutant. Moreover, we found that apoptosis was reduced to almost wild-type levels in a prom-1 cep-1 double mutant (2.7 ± 2.2 apoptotic nuclei per gonad, n = 25). The dependency of apoptosis on CEP-1 (the p53 homologue of the worm) also suggests that it is not due to a recently discovered checkpoint that monitors meiotic chromosome synopsis (Bhalla and Dernburg, 2005). It is conceivable that nonhomologous synopsis (which occurs in the prom-1 mutant; see below) is sufficient to escape this checkpoint (Penkner et al., 2007).

**Mutation of prom-1 Causes a Transient Arrest of Nuclei on Meiotic Entry**

Cytological inspection of mutant gonads revealed that in addition to elevated apoptosis, the normal progression of meiotic stages was perturbed (Figure 2a). In wild-type ovaries, the distal region of the gonad where germ cells are produced by a series of mitoses, is characterized by spherical DAPI-stained nuclei. It is commonly referred to as the mitotic zone, although its most proximal nuclei start to express meiotic genes (MacQueen et al., 2002; Hansen et al., 2004; Crittenden et al., 2006; see below) and hence satisfy the classical cytological definition of leptotene. This “meiotic entry zone” (marking the switch from proliferation to meiotic determination (Hansen et al., 2004) possesses somewhat larger nuclei than the genuine mitotic zone. It is followed by several rows of cells that comprise the transition zone,

---

**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic tree of *C. elegans* PROM-1 and related proteins. The human F-box protein SKP2 is displayed as a member of the outgroup. The phylogenetic tree was derived from a multiple protein sequence alignment by the ClustalX program (Thompson et al., 1997). The resulting tree was tested by resampling (bootstrapping) by using the programs seqboot, protpars, and consense from the PHYLIP package (Felsenstein, 1989), executed on a server of the Department of Molecular Evolution, Uppsala University (http://artedi.ebc.uu.se/programs/). The numbers at the forks indicate in how many trees (out of 100) the grouping to the right of the fork occurred.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human SKP2</th>
<th>Mouse XP_484074</th>
<th>Human FBXO47</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. elegans PROM-1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>C. briggsae CBG19470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>C. elegans F54D5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. briggsae CBC02863</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mutation of prom-1 Causes Reduced Fertility and Increased Production of Males**

Brood size was strongly reduced in the mutants, and it was highly variable from animal to animal, with all three backcrossed alleles. prom-1 Δ homozygous hermaphrodites laid 143 ± 70 (SD) embryos (ranging from 44 to 256; n = 17 animals) compared with an average brood size of 282 ± 22 (n = 8) of the wild type. prom-1 Δ worms also displayed high embryonic lethality. The average viability was 10.2% (70 of 688 laid eggs developed further than to the L1 stage) compared with 99.8% in the wild type (n = 2257 eggs), but it was highly variable between individual hermaphrodites, ranging from 1.4 to 54.2%. Of the surviving mutant offspring, 22% (72 of 328 L3-adult worms in a different experiment) were males. Most of the viable progeny were vigorous and morphologically normal, suggesting that embryonic lethality was due to chromosomal defects in the wake of disturbed meiosis (see below), and that animals receiving a balanced, intact chromosome set were not affected. Deletion of *prom-1*, therefore, seems to have only a mild, if any, somatic phenotype.

To test whether both female and male meioses are affected and whether the combined defects contribute to the lethality of hermaphrodite progeny, we crossed *prom-1* Δ hermaphrodites to wild-type (WT) males and *fog-2(oz40)* female-only worms to *prom-1* Δ males. Progeny from *prom-1* Δ hermaphrodites × WT males showed 33% viability (1610 eggs scored). Progeny from *fog-2* females × *prom-1* Δ males showed 28% viability (1309 eggs scored), which is not significantly different (t test). (fog-2 females × WT males produced 99% viable offspring; n = 1622 eggs.) Thus, the involvement of either *prom-1* mutant eggs or sperm in zygote formation considerably reduces viability, suggesting that formation of both types of gametes is compromised in *prom-1* Δ hermaphrodites.

**Apoptosis Is Increased in the prom-1 Δ Mutant**

We scored for apoptotic nuclei by differential interference contrast microscopy in animals 16–24 h past L4. In accordance with the apoptosis phenotype by which *prom-1* was initially identified, up to 21 (mean ± SD, 11.6 ± 4.7; n = 30) nuclei in the ovary of the *prom-1* Δ mutant, at any given time, show morphological features indicative of apoptosis, compared with a maximum of three nuclei (1.4 ± 0.6; n = 23) in the wild type. We tested whether impaired repair of meiotic double-strand breaks (DSBs) contributed to increased germ cell apoptosis by scoring for apoptotic nuclei in a *prom-1 spo-11* double mutant. We found that in the absence of SPO-11 (which catalyzes meiotic DSB formation; Dernburg et al., 1998), on average, only 1.9 nuclei ± 1.9 (n = 19) per gonad were apoptotic. Thus, the apoptosis phenotype was bypassed in the absence of DSBs, indicating that incomplete or delayed repair of meiotic DSBs, which is a possible consequence of delayed meiotic progression (see below) may trigger DNA damage checkpoint-dependent apoptosis in a *prom-1* Δ mutant. Moreover, we found that apoptosis was reduced to almost wild-type levels in a *prom-1 cep-1* double mutant (2.7 ± 2.2 apoptotic nuclei per gonad, n = 25). The dependency of apoptosis on CEP-1 (the p53 homologue of the worm) also suggests that it is not due to a recently discovered checkpoint that monitors meiotic chromosome synopsis (Bhalla and Dernburg, 2005). It is conceivable that nonhomologous synopsis (which occurs in the *prom-1* mutant; see below) is sufficient to escape this checkpoint (Penkner et al., 2007).
where leptotene nuclei become replaced by nuclei with chromatin arranged in a crescent, which correspond to early zygotene. The region next to the transition zone is occupied by late zygotene and pachytene nuclei, followed by cells in diplotene and diakinesis (Schedl, 1997).

In prom-1 mutants, the zone with small DAPI-bright nuclei, resembling the wild-type mitotic zone, extended for 19.6 ± 4.0 (n = 56) nuclear diameters from the distal tip cell (DTC), which is comparable with the 20.75 ± 1.62 (n = 56) nuclear diameters from the DTC in the wild type. Within this mitotic zone, mitoses were identified by immunostaining for phosphorylated histone H3 (Figure 2a). It was followed by a zone with slightly larger and less bright nuclei, ~16 nuclear diameters in width (Figure 2a), which was missing in the wild type. This zone did not contain mitoses (Supplemental Figure S3). To determine whether DNA replication was ongoing in these putative postmitotic cells, we monitored DNA synthesis by the incorporation of Cy3-labeled dUTP. Young adult hermaphrodites were injected with high concentrations of Cy3-labeled dUTP, and incorporation was scored in fixed DAPI-stained gonads. After 1.5-h exposure to Cy3-labeled dUTP, it was found that labeled nucleotides had been incorporated for the same number of distal cell rows both in the wild type (27.2 ± 2.1; n = 13) and in the mutant (27.5 ± 3.4; n = 13) (Figure 2b). Further down in the gonad, only stray cells with some Cy3 label were detected. This indicates that DNA synthesis takes place mostly within the first ~27 cell rows of the wild type and the mutant, and we assume that it corresponds to S phase in proliferative cells (~20 cell rows) plus a few adjacent cell rows where cells undergo meiotic DNA replication (compare Crittenden et al., 2006). This result suggests that the mitotic zone is normal and that meiotic S phase is essentially complete in the additional cell rows that follow this zone in the mutant.

A distinct transition zone was missing in the mutant. Instead, sporadic nuclei with chromatin arranged in a crescent were scattered over the proximal area (the pachytene zone) of the gonad (Figure 2a). (For simplicity, the area of the prom-1Δ mutant gonad that corresponds to the pachytene zone of the wild type will be also called pachytene zone throughout the article, despite the fact that chromosome pairing may be incomplete; see below.) The occurrence of such transition zone-like nuclei in the pachytene zone of the gonad may reflect delayed and asynchronous initiation of homologous chromosome pairing in the prom-1Δ mutant (see below).

To further determine the nature of the cells following the zone where mitoses and DNA replication take place, we studied the expression of SC proteins. In the wild type, SCs form between pairs of homologous chromosomes along their entire length, and they can be visualized as thread-like structures corresponding to the haploid chromosome number when immunostained for SC components or associated proteins such as SYP-1 (MacQueen et al., 2002), HIM-3 (Zetka et al., 1999), and REC-8 (Pasierbek et al., 2001) (Figure 3; Supplemental Figure S4). In the prom-1Δ mutant, SYP-1, a protein that connects the synapsed partners; HIM-3, an axial element component; and the cohesin subunit REC-8 were present in a few patches inside nuclei proximal to the mitotic zone. This observation confirms our interpretation that these nuclei have entered the meiotic program and hence they represent an extended meiotic entry zone (Figure 3, b and c), and it suggests that PROM-1 functions very early in meiotic prophase.

Reduced Levels of Bivalent Formation and Crossing Over in the prom-1Δ Mutant

In the pachytene zone of the prom-1Δ mutant, all three SC-associated proteins progressively developed into long lines (Figure 3, b and c), indicating the occurrence of rather extensive although incomplete synapsis. Consistent with partial synapsis, we found a high level of univalents at diakinesis of mutant hermaphrodites. Whereas six bivalents are present in the wild type, in the prom-1Δ animals a range of six to 12 DAPI-positive entities were detected (Figure 4, a and b). Of the 1074 homologue pairs in the 179 diakinesis scored, 367 formed bivalents (i.e., the frequency of bivalents was 34%), amounting to an average of approximately two bivalents per meiosis.

The number of bivalents was highly variable. Although 39% of diakinesis cells had no bivalents, a complete set of six bivalents was formed in 7% of cells (Figure 4b). To exclude the possibility that the product of the close paralogue F54D5.9 could partially substitute PROM-1, we performed RNAi for F54D5.9 in the prom-1Δ mutant. Depletion of the

Figure 2. Organization of gonads in the wild type and in prom-1Δ (ok1140). (a) In the wild type, the mitotic zone of the germline (with mitotic nuclei immunostained for phosphorylated histone H3 depicted in red) and a narrow meiotic entry zone (see text) is followed by the transition zone containing crescent-shaped nuclei and then by the pachytene zone. In the prom-1Δ mutant, the mitotic zone is followed by an extended meiotic entry zone with large nuclei that are not crescent shaped. The pachytene zone contains some crescent-shaped nuclei (arrow). The vertical broken lines indicate the boundaries between different regions, defined by DAPI staining (see text). (b) Incorporation of Cy3-labeled dUTP (red) is limited to the mitotic zone in wild-type and mutant gonads. Chromatin is stained blue with DAPI. Bar, 10 μm.
F54D5.9 protein caused >90% embryonic lethality, but it did not further reduce the frequency of bivalents (data not shown), indicating that the two genes do not function redundantly in meiotic progression. Because reverse transcription-PCR showed a transcript of the remaining open reading frame in the prom-1(ok1140) mutant, there exists the possibility that the mutant expresses low levels of a severely truncated product which could exert some residual function and perhaps cause variable expression of the mutant phenotype. We, therefore, studied the consequence of halving its dosage in a prom-1(ok1140) prom-1(qDf8) heterozygote. qDf8 is a deletion from position 1.14-4.67 cM on chromosome I, which encompasses the prom-1 locus. Bivalent formation was still highly variable and not significantly different ($t$ test, $p > 0.05$) from the homozygous mutant (Figure 4b).

Because bivalent formation was reduced in the female germline of the prom-1Δ mutant, we wanted to compare it to the crossover frequency in female meioses. Recombination frequencies calculated from rare viable offspring may be biased due to the preferential survival of embryos derived from germ cells in which crossing over was highest and hence faithful segregation of most chromosomes was ensured. Recombination was, therefore, assayed using freshly laid embryos by scoring for the production of recombinant progeny of parents carrying SNPs. To this end, we crossed prom-1Δ/prom-1Δ hermaphrodites heterozygous for two SNP markers on chromosome V to prom-1+/prom-1+ males homozygous for both SNP loci. (Mating was confirmed by PCR testing the presence of a paternal GFP marker or a wild-type copy of prom-1 in the embryos; see Materials and Methods). All recombination scored in this experiment must have taken place in the ovaries of prom-1Δ mothers. The frequency of recombination was calculated by counting the fraction of embryos where a recombination event caused homozygosity of one of the two SNP markers in the progeny (Table 1; Supplemental Figure S5). The control experiment was done with prom-1+/prom-1+ hermaphrodites. The reduction in recombination in prom-1Δ female meiosis to ~32% of the wild type corresponds reasonably well with the reduction in chromosome V bivalent formation, with bivalents found in 24% of diakinesis nuclei (see below).

Reduced Homologous Pairing and Nonhomologous Synapsis in the prom-1Δ Mutant

Univalent formation during diakinesis can be caused by defects in 1) homologous chromosome pairing, 2) chromosome synopsis, 3) recombination, and/or in 4) chiasma maintenance. We performed experiments to assess for potential defects in these processes in prom-1Δ.

To quantify homologous pairing, we highlighted homologous chromosomal loci by FISH (Figure 4, c and d) with probes corresponding to loci near the left end of chromo-
some I and the right end of chromosome V. In the wild type, there was almost no association of homologous FISH signals in the mitotic zone, but 72 and 99% of FISH signal doublets were homologously associated in the transition zone and pachytene zones, respectively (Figure 4d and Supplemental Table S6). In the mutant, we evaluated the frequencies of associated FISH signals in the mitotic zone, in the extended meiotic entry zone, in the distal pachytene zone just proximal to the extended meiotic entry zone, and in the proximal pachytene zone just proximal to the first diplotene nuclei.

Homologous pairing of autosomes was found to vary considerably between gonads, but it was, in general, reduced compared with the wild type (Figure 4d and Supplemental Table S6). C. elegans chromosomes possess a pairing center near one end that has been implicated in the maintenance of pairing and promotion of SC formation (McKim, 2005). We did not observe an obvious difference in the pairing of a chromosome region near the pairing center (5S rDNA locus) and a region at the left end of chromosome I which is distant from it (data not shown).
Next, we studied the pairing of X chromosomes by immunostaining of the HIM-8 protein that is associated with the pairing centers on the X chromosomes (Phillips et al., 2005) (Figure 4e). We scored the association of HIM-8 foci in a total of 350 nuclei (in 6 different gonads) from the proximal pachytene zone. In 69% of prom-1Δ nuclei, we found a single focus or two associated foci (WT control: 99% single or associated; n = 208), suggesting that the pairing of X chromosomes is affected to a lesser degree than that of autosomes. Likewise, when we determined bivalent formation in diakinesis for specific chromosomes by FISH (Figure 4f), it turned out that the X chromosomes were involved in bivalent formation more often than the autosomes. X chromosome bivalents were found in 74% of the nuclei (n = 38), whereas chromosome V bivalents were present in only 24% of the nuclei (n = 21).

Because SYP-1 thread formation indicated extensive synapsis, whereas the association of homologous FISH signals was limited, we tested to which extent synapsis in the prom-1Δ mutant was homologous. To this end, simultaneous FISH of SS rDNA loci on chromosome V and SYP-1 immunostaining were performed (compare Couteau et al., 2004). In the wild type, paired loci were associated with SYP-1 (i.e., synapsed) tracts in 73 of 74 nuclei scored. In the prom-1Δ mutant, however, one or both unpaired homologous loci were associated with SYP-1 tracts in 69% of the nuclei (n = 213 pachytene nuclei from 7 different gonads), indicating that they were synapsed with a nonhomologous region (Figure 4g). This suggests that nonhomologous synapsis is extensive in the prom-1Δ mutant.

**Defective DSB Processing in the prom-1Δ Mutant**

The recombination protein, RAD-51, assembles at sites of meiotic DSBs (Shinohara et al., 1992), and immunostaining of RAD-51 produces foci in late zygote to midpachytene nuclei, just proximal to the transition zone in wild-type C. elegans meiosis (Alpi et al., 2003; Colaíaco et al., 2003). In the prom-1Δ mutant, RAD-51 foci appeared near the beginning of the pachytene zone and they did not disappear before diplotene (Figure 5). Moreover, their number greatly increased toward the end of the pachytene zone. The mean number of foci in nuclei within the RAD-51–positive region of the prom-1Δ mutant was 22.0 ± 10.0 (n = 234 nuclei from 7 different gonads), whereas in the wild type this number was only 6.0 ± 3.5 (n = 263 nuclei from 5 different gonads). We cannot exclude the possibility that more DSBs than in the wild type are formed in the first place, but the persistence of RAD-51 foci suggests that DSBs may be formed normally but accumulate because of their delayed repair in the absence of PROM-1. Moreover, the ~4 times increased mean number of RAD-51 foci is comparable with other mutants where the repair of DSBs is delayed or abolished (Alpi et al., 2003; Colaíaco et al., 2003).

**Table 1. Recombination frequencies observed on embryos between SNP markers in cosmids F36H9 and Y51A2A on chromosome V**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of recombinants</th>
<th>No. of embryos tested</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>prom-1Δ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of recombinants corresponds to the number of embryos homozygous for the wild-type (Bristol) or the Hawaiian SNP pattern on either side of the interval.

**prom-1 Acts Earlier Than htp-1**

prom-1 mutants resemble htp-1 mutants with respect to nonhomologous synapsis, with the difference that htp-1 loads SC components prematurely (Couteau and Zetka, 2005; Martinez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005). We produced a prom-1Δ htp-1 double mutant to study the genetic interaction between prom-1 and htp-1. REC-8 staining highlighted the aggregations of SC proteins typical for the extended meiotic entry zone (Figure 6). In the prom-1Δ htp-1 double mutant, the extended meiotic entry zone of prom-1Δ was still present. This means that the delayed entry into zygote cannot be suppressed by inducing premature synapsis in the htp-1 mutant, and it confirms that prom-1 acts early in the leptotene/zygote commitment upstream of htp-1. The scattered transition zone-like nuclei found in the pachytene zone of prom-1Δ were missing (Figure 6) and only univalents were...
formed during diakinesis of the double mutant. This supports our assumption that the limited homologous pairing observed in prom-1Δ is initiated in these transition zone-like nuclei.

**DISCUSSION**

**prom-1Δ Mutants Display a Complex Meiotic Phenotype**

The prom-1Δ mutation causes aberrant meiotic progression in the ovary. Following the mitotic zone of the gonad, there was an elongated tract of cells (an expanded meiotic entry zone) in which cells were transiently arrested right after entry into the meiotic pathway. These cells had completed mitotic and presumably also premeiotic DNA synthesis, and they expressed the meiosis-specific structural proteins HIM-3 and SYP-1. A transition zone with cells synchronously initiating chromosome pairing was missing, instead, the presence of transition zone-like nuclei in the proximal region of the gonad seems to reflect asynchronous and retarded onset of homology search. Homologous pairing and synapsis were reduced and the number of RAD-51 foci was increased, indicating that the repair of DSBs was abnormal.

The increased incidence of apoptotic germline cells and the reduced brood size suggest that in prom-1 mutants a substantial portion of faulty pachytene nuclei is discarded.

By the very end of the pachytene zone the RAD-51 foci disappeared (Figure 5). This, together with the fact that ~10% of embryos are viable, suggests that although the repair of DSBs was delayed, it must eventually have taken place efficiently. It has been proposed that DSB repair via the sister chromatid becomes possible at the pachytene–diplotene transition once a barrier (possibly created by lateral elements) has disappeared (Coliaiácovo et al., 2003). Therefore, it is possible that in the prom-1Δ mutant where chiasma formation and recombination are reduced to about one third of the frequency in the wild type, most of the repair occurs via the sister chromatid.

A remarkable feature of the prom-1 mutants, including the deletion mutant, was the extreme variability of phenotypes between individuals with respect to brood size and the viability of their offspring, between gonads with respect to homologous pairing, and between the cells of a gonad with respect to bivalent formation. All these parameters were evaluated under controlled conditions and in descendants from homozygous prom-1Δ mothers to avoid a possible maternal rescue as a source of variability. (In fact, the viability of embryos laid by homozygous prom-1Δ hermaphrodites, which directly derived from heterozygous mothers was notably higher (21% of laid eggs; n = 1813), which suggests some maternal rescue in the first generation.) The tested parameters continued to vary among later descendants with no obvious tendency to recapitulate high or low brood size, hatch rate, pairing, or bivalent formation of their mothers (data not shown). We do not have at present an explanation for the variable expressivity of the mutant genotype.

**PROM-1 Has a Role in Meiotic Chromosome Pairing**

In prom-1Δ hermaphrodites, homologous pairing was reduced and only few nuclei with crescent chromatin arrangement indicative of initial chromosome pairing were scattered in the pachytene zone of the gonad. Thus, PROM-1 adds to the list of proteins that have been identified as essential regulators of the establishment of initial pairing in C. elegans. The protein kinase CHK-2, for example, promotes homologous pairing (MacQueen and Villeneuve, 2001). In contrast to prom-1Δ however, absence of CHK-2 also inhibits SC formation, suggesting that the CHK-2 kinase is not directly involved in homology search and recognition but rather plays a central role as a more upstream regulator of meiosis, which allows it to govern both homology recognition and SC formation, which are two independent processes in C. elegans. Also, deletion of him-3, which encodes a meiosis-specific axial element protein causes a pairing defect (Couteau et al., 2004). It is, therefore, conceivable that chromosomal axis components have to be loaded and/or modified in time to establish a chromosomal organization, which supports homology search. HTP-1 is another protein with a role in initial chromosome pairing. It was shown that in addition to its more prominent function in coordinating pairing with SC formation, HTP-1 contributes to pairing because in a htp-1 sup-2 double mutant initial homologous alignment is reduced compared with a sup-2 mutant in which alignment occurs but is not enforced by synapsis (Martinez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005).

Recently, the chromosome binding ZIM proteins (ZIM-1,-2, and -3 and HIM-8) were identified as factors that facilitate homologous contacts and SC formation. Because individual ZIM proteins are responsible for the pairing of more than one chromosome pair, it is unlikely that the ZIM proteins...
confer the specificity for the recognition of homologous chromosomes (Phillips and Dernburg, 2006). Together with the ZIM proteins, SUN-1 and ZYG-12 seem to impose a certain chromosomal arrangement within the nucleus as a precondition for pairing (Penkner et al., 2007). It remains to be shown how PROM-1 interacts with the mentioned factors to ensure the encounter of homologues or its manifestation as homologous alignment.

**Coordinated Meiotic Entry Is Essential for Downstream Meiotic Events**

In the wild type, only few cells of the hermaphrodite gonad pass through the meiotic entry zone at any given time. Hence, the older literature failed to recognize the meiotic nature of the cells immediately upstream of the transition zone and assigned them to the “mitotic zone.” Only more recent accounts (MacQueen et al., 2002; Hansen et al., 2004; Crittenden et al., 2006) associate these cells with early meiotic prophase. The lack of informative mutants aggravated detailed analysis of nuclei at this stage. To date, only the protein kinase CHK-2 was ascribed functions already at very early steps in meiosis that are crucial for downstream meiotic events culminating in faithful chromosome segregation (MacQueen and Villeneuve, 2001).

Germ cells of prom-1Δ mutants readily proceed through mitosis and premeiotic DNA replication. Although they are competent for timely entering the meiotic program as judged by the expression of meiosis-specific proteins, prom-1Δ nuclei display a pronounced delay in the meiotic entry stage resulting in a dramatic extension of this zone. Once prom-1 nuclei have passed the meiotic entry zone, axial and central components of the SC are loaded and chromosome condensation, homologous interactions, and recombination are initiated asynchronously, consistent with the lack of a distinct transition zone. As a consequence, prom-1 mutants undergo extensive nonhomologous synapsis, a feature shared with the weak him-3(v61) and the htp-1 null mutants (Couteau et al., 2004; Couteau and Zetka, 2005; Martinez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005). In cases (such as in haploids) where homologous chromosomes are not available but where the SC machinery is intact, it has been observed that the failure to find a homologous partner leads to indiscriminate nonhomologous synapsis (Loidl and Jones, 1986; Loidl, 1994). We think that SC polymerization along chromosomes is a default process that can readily involve nonhomologous chromosomes if homologous alignment has not been achieved by the time SC development starts (Loidl, 1991). Thus, HTP-1 and HIM-3 prevent nonhomologous synapsis, because they may sufficiently delay the onset of synapsis or otherwise coordinate alignment and synapsis to ensure that only prealigned homologues will synapse (Couteau and Zetka, 2005; Martinez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005). Although untimely synapsis in the htp-1 and him-3 mutants precedes the homologous pairing step, synapsis is delayed in the prom-1Δ mutant. The prom-1Δ htp-1Δ double mutant shows the Prom-1 delayed synapsis phenotype, which indicates that the early onset nonhomologous synapsis in the htp-1Δ mutant requires PROM-1.

A notable difference between the prom-1Δ and the htp-1 mutant is that in the latter RAD-51 foci do not accumulate. Whereas in the prom-1Δ mutant, a possible alternative DSB repair via the sister might be implemented only at the pachytene–diplotene transition after the axial elements become disassembled (see above), in the htp-1 (and in the him-3 null) mutant, the sister chromatid may be efficiently used for DSB repair in pachytene (Martinez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005).

It is worth mentioning that bivalent formation of the X chromosomes is less affected compared with the autosomes in the prom-1 mutant. This behavior of the X resembles the one in weak him-3 mutants (Couteau et al., 2004; Nabeshima et al., 2004) and in the htp-1 null mutant (Couteau and Zetka, 2005; Martinez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005), and it suggests redundant or less susceptible pathways ensuring X chromosome pairing. A different regulation of X chromosome pairing is also revealed by the fact that the concentration at the nuclear envelope of the X chromosome specific pairing protein HIM-8 is independent of CHK-2, whereas the behavior of its autosomal counterparts is affected in a chk-2 mutant (Phillips and Dernburg, 2006).

**PROM-1 May Play a Role in the Ubiquitination of a Meiotic Regulator**

Many F-box proteins have been implicated to act as the substrate-recognizing component of Skp1–Cullin–F-box protein ubiquitin–protein ligase complexes (e.g., Schulman et al., 2000; Hochstrasser, 2002). PROM-1 contains an F-box–like motif, and it is possible that it is involved in ubiquitination. Indeed, components of the ubiquitination pathway have been implicated in meiotic prophase control in various organisms. In budding yeast, meiosis is impaired in mutants of the ubiquitin conjugating enzyme RAD6 (Borts et al., 1986). In mice, loss of ubiquitin-conjugating activity by HR6B, one of the two mammalian RAD6 homologues, results in a damaged SC structure and other meiotic anomalies (Baarends et al., 2003). Likewise, homologous synopsis is impaired by a mutation in the ASK1 gene of Arabidopsis, which encodes a Skp1p homologue (Wang et al., 2004). In C. elegans, depletion of Skp-related proteins 1 and 2 results in univalent formation at diakinesis (Nayak et al., 2002), as does depletion of CUL-1 (cullin) (our preliminary data). A mutation in cul-1 was previously shown to cause hyperplasia of all tissues (Kirepos et al., 1996). Thus, the pairing defect displayed by C. elegans prom-1Δ mutant animals could well be explained by a defect in ubiquitin-dependent degradation of one or several meiotic regulators yet to be identified.
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